

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/770,320	01/26/2001	Alan West	218.1005	7520
7590 01/05/2004 Cary S. Kappel DAVIDSON, DAVISON & KAPPEL, LLC 14th Floor 485 Seventh Avenue			EXAMINER	
			HUYNH, BA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2173	
New York, NY	7 10018		DATE MAILED: 01/05/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	pplicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		''				
		09/770,320	WEST ET AL.			
	on ounmary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING D	ATE of this communication and	Ba Huynh pears on the cover sheet with the c	2173			
Period for Reply	ATE OF UNS COMMUNICATION APP	jears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE MAILING DATE (- Extensions of time may be are after SIX (6) MONTHS from the period for reply specifies. If NO period for reply is specifies. Failure to reply within the set	OF THIS COMMUNICATION. vailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 the mailing date of this communication. It above is less than thirty (30) days, a replyified above, the maximum statutory period or extended period for reply will, by statute ice later than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONEI godate of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to c	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 November 2003</u> .					
2a)☐ This action is FI	NAL. 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims			*			
4) Claim(s) 1-92 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-18,58-68,91 and 92 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,19,20,22,27,29,33,37,38,40,45,47,51-54,69,70,72 and 77-89 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5-7,21,23-26,28,30-32,34-36,39,41-44,46,48-50,55-57,71,73-76 and 90 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers			:			
9) The specification 10) The drawing(s) f Applicant may no Replacement draw	t request that any objection to the wing sheet(s) including the correct	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgmer a) All b) Son 1. Certified of 2. Certified of 3. Copies of applicatio * See the attached 13) Acknowledgment since a specific re 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translat 14) Acknowledgment	nt is made of a claim for foreign ne * c) None of: copies of the priority document the certified copies of the priority document the certified copies of the prior from the International Burear detailed Office action for a list is made of a claim for domestiference was included in the firming of the foreign language process is made of a claim for domestification.	s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive	on No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eived. and/or 121 since/a specific			
Notice of References Cite Notice of Draftsperson's F	d (PTO-892) Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) atement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>7</u>	5) Notice of Informa P	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/770,320

Art Unit: 2173

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 19-20, 22, 29, 33, 37, 38, 40, 47, 51-54, 69, 70, 72, 77-82, 84-85, 87-88 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US patent #5,644,738 (Goldman et al).
 - As for claims 1, 2, 19, 37, 51, 52, 69, 77, 78, 79, 84, 87: Goldman et al teach a computer implemented method and corresponding apparatus for generating menu/toolbar entities on a graphical user interface, comprising the steps/means for:

providing application(s) which can be displayed in a frame of a graphical user interface (1:30-32; 2:19-27; figure 4), the application(s) having a corresponding set of menu/toolbar, the application being in one of a respective plurality of application states (i.e., context) at any given time (2:30-32), information indicative of an application state characteristic for an application state being contained in a data file (5:6-15), each application characteristic defining a display characteristic of at least one of the set of menu/toolbar entities (2:31-43), and

generating a displayed set of menu/toolbar entities on the GUI based upon the application state characteristic of a current application state (2:51-62; figure 4).

- As for claims 3, 20, 38, 53, 70, 88: Each application has a corresponding data file (application registration, 5:6-15).

Application/Control Number: 09/770,320

Art Unit: 2173

- As for claims 4, 29, 33, 47, 54: The display characteristic is one of enabled and disabled menu/toolbar entities (3:3-17).

- As for claims 22, 40, 72, 82, 85: Each menu has a set of menu items. The toolbar has a set of toolbar items (figure 4; 4:53-67). Each menu/toolbar entity includes a policy (e.g., "AllContexts", 3:3-17).
- As for claims 80, 81: The system condition includes an application gaining focus (2:54-60).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 27, 45, 83, 86, 89 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US patent #5,644,738 (Goldman et al).
 - As for claims 83, 86, 89: Goldman et al fail to clearly teach that the input entities include checkbox elements. However, since checkbox elements are well known as a specific format of selectable menu items, the implementation would have been obvious to one of skill in the art in light of Goldman's teaching.
 - As for claim 27, 45: The system includes a window creation event wherein a window is created and displayed with corresponding context-sensitive menu items (6:65 7:14). Destroy, and Focus events are inherently included in Goldman's teaching of interactions

Application/Control Number: 09/770,320

Art Unit: 2173

with application window. Goldman fails to clearly teach the implementation of window or container in Java. However Official notice is taken that implementation Java window or container is well known in computer GUI art. It would have been obvious to one of skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine the well known Java window or container to Goldman's teaching of window. Motivation of the combine is for the advantage of being portable, robust, and platform-independent of Java language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claim 83 is rejected as being a duplicate of claim 86.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 5-7, 21, 23-26, 28, 30-32, 34-36, 39, 41-44, 46, 48-50, 55-57, 71, 73-76, 90 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ba Huynh whose telephone number is (703) 305-9794. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca can be reached on (703) 308-3116. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800.

Ba Huynh

Primary Examiner

AU 2173

12/27/03

BAHUYNH BINARY EXAMINER